# **SRIMADBHAGAVADGITA**

Summary on
Second Chapter
by
Swami Paramarthananda

Note: 1. Portions in brackets are supplied ideas.

2. Numbers in brackets indicate the verses.

In the first chapter, Arjuna was shown to be completely immersed in grief  $(\acute{s}oka)$  caused by attachment  $(r\bar{a}ga)$  and delusion (moha). Independently analysing the problem, he comes to the conclusion that withdrawing from the war is the only solution.

In the beginning of the second chapter we see the turning point in Arjuna. Chastised by Kṛṣṇa (2, 3), Arjuna analyses the situation further. This leads to two important discoveries. 1. His weakness of attachment is a fundamental problem which cannot be solved by superficial methods (9). 2. He has to surrender completely to a guru to get out of this fundamental problem (8).

Thus, Arjuna becomes a śiṣya by surrendering to Lord Kṛṣṇa. Naturally, Kṛṣṇa also becomes a guru. Now that the guru-śiṣya relationship has been struck, the teaching can begin (10).

[Once a human being discovers the seeker in him, the *guru* will be right in front. The vedantic teaching can take place only between a *guru* and *śiṣya*.]

Kṛṣṇa straightaway attacks Arjuna's idea that the war is going to harm Bhiṣma or himself. He points out that all the problems of Arjuna are because of delusion caused by ignorance, for wise men never have such a problem (11). Thereafter, Kṛṣṇa gives different reasons to establish that Arjuna has to fight this war:

I From the stand point of the true nature of the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$  ( $\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}tmika$ - drsti),  $Bh\bar{i}sma$  and others are immortal.  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$  is never subject to changes inspite of the changes of the body. It is neither a doer nor an enjoyer. Hence, neither is Arjuna a slayer nor is  $Bh\bar{i}sma$  slain. So, why should he resist to fight? (12 to 25). Even if the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$  is impermanent, Arjuna should not

lament. Whatever appears will have to disappear and whatever disappears will appear. Hence, one should learn to accept the change. [In fact, change is the beauty of creation. It looks ugly when our outlook is partial or selfish.] Hence, why should *Arjuna* grieve for the physical separation from *Bhīṣma* and others which is inevitable in life? (26 to 30).

- II From the stand point of Kṣatriya's duty (dhārmika-dṛṣṭi), Arjuna can fight if it is necessary to establish order. A kṣatriya must look at a situation not from personal stand point, but from social stand point (31). Hence, why should Arjuna hesitate to fight for a righteous cause? A righteous war is a door to heaven for a kṣatriya (32). If Arjuna avoids war, not only will he be shirking his duty and losing heaven, but he will positively incur sin (33). For avoiding sin, atleast, Arjuna should fight.
- III Looking at the situation from worldly angle (laukika-dṛṣṭi), Arjuna should not withdraw from the war. He will be called a coward by everyone (including the future generation) (34, 36). Shouldn't Arjuna fight to protect his reputation?

With these arguments, Lord persuades Arjuna to fight (37, 38) and concludes the first part of his teaching. He calls this  $s\bar{a}\dot{n}khyayoga$  (39). [In fact, the first argument which deals with the nature of the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$  and the body ( $\bar{a}tma-an\bar{a}tma-viveka$ ) alone is the  $s\bar{a}\dot{n}khyayoga$ .]

Hereafter, the Lord enters into  $buddh\bar{i}$ -yoga (karma-yoga). [Though  $s\bar{a}nkhya$ -yoga is the true solution for sorrow, many are not fit to gain it because of the false idea (moha) that the worldly pursuits can solve the problem. So, initially, one has to be allowed to pursue worldly ends. By this, one should discover for oneself that actions and their results cannot give permanent satisfaction. This is dispassion. A dispassionate mind can pursue  $s\bar{a}nkya$ -yoga. Thus karma-yoga is introduced as a means to come to  $s\bar{a}nkhya$ -yoga.]

First, the Lord describes the glory of karma-yoga (40 to 46). Then comes the principle of karma-yoga. One can choose one's action but never the result. The result is dependent on the laws of action. The other factors of the world, known and unknown, may bring a totally unexpected result. One cannot avoid that. Yet inaction will not be a solution (47). No one can completely know the laws of action. Hence, actions are often imperfect inspite of effort. So, one should ever be ready for any result. One can hope for the best, but should be prepared for the worst. When one acts with the above understanding, success and failure lose their capacity to shake him. One does not react, because he is not caught unawares. This equinimity in action is yoga (48). Thus, one can convert the binding karma into a valid teacher. This is skill in action (50). A tranquil mind will soon shed its false value attributed to the world and turn towards the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$  (52). When, through Self-knowledge, one gets established in the peaceful  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$ , he attains liberation (53).

Now, Arjuna becomes curious to know the characteristics of a person who is firmly established in Self-knowledge (sthitaprajña) (54). Kṛṣṇa answers Arjuna's question and gives the means of stabilising the knowledge.

Knowledge cannot be fruitful unless it is stabilised and assimilated. For this, Krsna talks about two important  $s\bar{a}dhanas$  (58 to 68). They are the control of the mind and the sense organs and contemplation upon the teaching. By this, the knowledge sets (61). On the other hand, if these are not practised, the mind and the sense organs will drag a person to the field of sense-objects and gradually pull him down spiritually (62, 63).

Talking about the characteristics of a wise man, the Lord points out that the man of Self-knowledge is always satisfied with himself and, consequently, he is free from all desires (55). He is independent of the world to be happy. Naturally, he is free from attachment, hatred, desire, anger, fear, elation, depression etc. (56, 57). Though living in the same world, he enjoys a freedom and contentment which

is unknown to others. Thus, if the ignorant man can be said to be in darkness with regards to the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$ , the wise man is in broad daylight of the  $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$  (69). The best comparison for the wise man's mind is the ocean. The ocean is independently full and is unaffected by the rivers, entering or not entering, dirty or clean. Similarly, the wise man's mind is independently full. It is undisturbed by the favourable and unfavourable experiences, entering or not entering (70). Kṛṣṇa concludes this topic by glorifying this state as  $Br\bar{a}hm\bar{i}$ -state, reaching which one does not get deluded again. He lives the life as  $j\bar{i}vanmukta$  (liberated while living) even at the fag end of this journey. After death, he becomes one with Brahman ( $nirv\bar{a}nam$ ) which is called vidheha-mukti.

Thus the second chapter discusses the following four topics mainly:

	1.	Arjunasaraṇagati1 to 10
	2.	Sānkhya-yoga11 to 38
	3.	Karma-yoga
	4.	$Sthitapraj\~natva-up\=aya$ and $sthitapraj\~na-lakṣaṇa54$ to 72
nce	sāı	hkhya-yoga is the main topic, this chapter is aptly called

Since  $s\bar{a}\dot{n}khya$ -yoga is the main topic, this chapter is aptly called  $S\bar{a}\dot{n}khya$ -yoga.